Patient Preferred Fixed Treatment Modalities For One Or Two Missing Teeth - An Institution Based Retrospective Study
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Abstract: Replacement of missing teeth represents the largest category among patients in clinics who are looking for better aesthetic and/or functional teeth. The fixed partial denture (FPD) is one of the most commonly preferred definitive treatment options for a single missing tooth. To assess the patient preference of type of fixed treatment modalities a retrospective study was carried out using case records of 1208 patients who reported to the Department of Prosthodontics from June 2019 to March 2020. The number of patients preferring fixed treatment modalities for one or two missing teeth were observed from the case records and tabulated on a spreadsheet. The collected data was analysed by computer software SPSS version 21 using Chi square test indicating the level of significance. Fixed partial denture was taken as a treatment of choice in 47.8% of the patients. Both fixed partial denture and implant treatment were opted more among the male patients than female patients. Below the age of 30 years fixed partial denture was opted by 197(16.31%) of patients and implant by 163(13.49%) patients. Between the age of 31-60 years 369 patients(32.78%) opted fixed partial denture and 380 patients(31.46%) opted implants as treatment of choice. Above the age of 60 years, 37 patients(3.06%) and 35 patients(2.90%) had fixed partial denture and implant respectively with 31-60 years age group patients receiving maximum fixed treatments. Patient preferred treatment options with fixed prosthesis had no significant association with neither age(p value-0.50) nor gender(p value-0.20).
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INTRODUCTION

Edentulism and missing teeth has a great effect on the quality of life of the patient(Fiske et al., 1998). Dentofacial problems have well known effects on patients satisfaction with their dentition as they affect esthetics, performance and function(Venugopalan et al., 2014). Fixed partial denture is a partial denture that is luted or otherwise securely retained to natural teeth, tooth roots and/or dental implant abutment that furnish the primary support to the prosthesis. Dental implant is any object or material such as an alloplastic substance or other tissues, which is partially or completely inserted or grafted into the body for therapeutic, diagnostic, prosthetic or experimental purposes.

Fixed partial denture have become the treatment of choice for replacement of missing teeth due to their advantage of being fixed in the mouth and being economical as compared to implants. It is important to know whether patients that the treatment imparts value relative to comport, esthetics, economical condition and proper function and survival rate(Fiske et al., 1998) (Ashok et al., 2014).

All ceramic fixed partial dentures are used in the anteriorly especially in the young age group because of their superior aesthetics in full mouth rehabilitations which is more affordable than dental implants(Motta, Pereira and da Cunha, 2007) (Ashok and Suvitha, 2016). Based on the survival rate, according to Olsson et al[2003] all ceramic short span FPDS has a survival rate of 91% of 5 years survival rate and 83% of 10 years survival rate(Olsson et al., 2003) (Vijayalakshmi and Ganapathy, 2016). According to Karlsson[1986] metal ceramic FPDS has 98% of 10 years survival rate(Karlsson, 1986) (Selvan and Ganapathy, 2016). Whereas implants have 82.94% of 16 years survival rate(Simonis and Dufour, 2010) (Jain and Dhanraj, 2016). The survival rate of a fixed treatment modality depends on proper impression making by choosing the right impression material and method.
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In this retrospective study, data from patients records within the institution were revised and the data of patients who underwent fixed treatment modalities for one or two missing teeth were collected. After data extraction, all information was anonymized and tabulated onto a spreadsheet. The study was commenced after approval from the Institutional Review Board. (ethical approval number. SDC/SIHEC/2020/DIASDATA/0619-0320)

Subjects and procedures: Data were collected from June 2019 to March 2020 from 1208 patients who got fixed treatment for missing teeth. The data were retrieved from the dental records: patient age and gender. The total population was divided into three groups namely group 1 (below 30 years), group 2 (between 31 to 60 years) and group 3 (above 60 years). The data was verified by 2 examiners. The dependent variables were age and gender and independent variables were the patient's willingness, socio-economic status.

Statistical Analysis: The collected data were added in MS Excel. The statistical analysis was done using SPSS software version 21.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Chi-square test was used to compare the study subject with age and gender.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
A total of 1208 patients preferred fixed treatment modalities for their missing teeth one either/both jaws with healthy abutments in the age group of 17-83 years. Fixed partial denture was taken as a treatment of choice in about 52.17% of patients and implant was taken as treatment of choice in about 47.85% of them (Figure 1).

The fixed treatment modalities opted for replacement of one or two missing teeth below the age of 30 years (group 1) were fixed partial dentures - 197(16.31%) and implants - 163(13.49%). Between the age of 31-60 years (group...
2) 369 patients (32.78%) chose fixed partial dentures and 380 patients (31.46%) chose implants. Above the age group of 60 years (group 3), 37 patients (3.06%) and 35 patients (2.90%) had fixed partial dentures and implants respectively. Among the three groups, group 2 (31-60 years) patients received a number of maximum fixed replacements. Figure 2 shows association of fixed replacement opted with age of the patient. Patients between the age group of 31-60 years have opted for more number of fixed replacements, this could be due to affordability and willingness to have better aesthetics. Aging in combination with intrinsic and extrinsic factors accelerates the decline in bone mass that predisposes to bone loss. Intrinsic factors include genetics, peak bone mass accrual in youth, alterations in cellular components, hormonal, biochemical and vasculature status. Extrinsic factors include nutrition, physical activity, comorbid medical conditions and drugs (Demontiero, Vidal and Duque, 2012). However patients from all the three study groups preferred fixed prostheses to implants. The study results had similar results to Ioanamidis et al, which says increased age of patients should not be considered a risk factor for fixed prosthesis. The majority of studies did not show any effect of age on survival of fixed prostheses (Ioanamidis et al., 2010). The study results were dissimilar to the results of Moy et al. who studied a relatively large group of patients who had been operated on by an experienced surgeon and found that advanced age increased the risk of implant failure; patients older than 60 years were twice as likely to have adverse outcomes (Moy et al., 2005).

However our study shows no significant association between age and fixed replacement options according to Pearson's chi square test [P value = 0.50].

Regarding the gender, 343 male patients (28.39%) opted for fixed partial dentures and 340 patients (28.15%) preferred implants. Among female patients, 286 patients (23.68%) opted for fixed partial denture and 238 patients (19.70%) preferred implants.

In our study group male patients have outnumbered females with respect to fixed replacements. This could be due to bone loss in women which has largely been associated with aging, menstruation, pregnancy, menopausal status. This can be due to estrogen, that protects bones in women, decreases sharply when women reach menopause, which causes bone loss. Hence the chances of developing osteoporosis increases as women reach menopause in their later ages around 60 to 70 years. The study results were dissimilar to Akarslan ZZ et al. which states female patients are often more dissatisfied and concerned with their teeth than males (Akarslan et al., 2009). However, our study shows no significant association between gender and fixed prosthesis according to Pearson's chi square test [P value = 0.20].

Advantages of fixed replacements include natural aesthetics, phonetics and mastication. Fixed prosthesis prevent tipping of adjacent teeth into edentulous areas and occlusal forces are distributed to the abutment teeth or to the surrounding bone as seen in implants. Limitations of the study include a small sample size and limited demographic area of coverage. Future scope of the study could include a multi centred study with different geographical areas and ethnicities with a wide range of population to ascertain better results and consider more number of clinical factors favouring fixed treatment options.

CONCLUSION
Within the limitations of the study, it can be concluded that fixed partial dentures were preferred to implants in this study group. The number of male patients, choosing fixed partial denture treatment outnumbered female patients across all age groups especially in the middle age sector. Both age and gender had no significant association with choice of treatment. Socioeconomic conditions, awareness and literacy seemed to have influenced the treatment options.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTION
Author 1 (J. Chandrapooja) carried out the retrospective study by collecting data and drafted manuscript performing the necessary statistical analysis. Author 2 (Dr. Padma Ariga) aided in the conception of the topic, participated in the study design, statistical analysis and coordinated in developing the manuscript and author 3 (Dr. Ganesh Jeevanandhan) aided in coordinating and developing the manuscript. All the authors have equally contributed in developing the manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT
The authors would like to acknowledge the help from the department of Prosthodontics and information technology of Saveetha dental college and Hospitals and the management for their constant support.

Conflict of interest: There is no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES


49. Surapaneni, K. M., Priya, V. V. and Mallika, J. (2014) ‘Pioglitazone, quercetin and hydroxy citric acid effect on cytochrome P450 2E1 (CYP2E1) enzyme levels in experimentally induced non alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH)’, European review for medical and pharmacological sciences, 18(18), pp. 2736–2741.

Fig.1: Bar chart represents frequency distribution of fixed partial denture and implants. X-axis represents the type of fixed replacement and Y-axis represents the percentage of cases. Fixed partial dentures (blue colour - 52.15%) were preferred to implants (green colour).

Fig.2: Bar chart represents the correlation of age of the patient and fixed replacement for one or two missing teeth. X-axis represents the age and Y-axis represents the number of patients. Fixed partial denture (blue colour) was the most preferred fixed replacement in all three age groups when compared to implant (green colour). Association between age and fixed replacement options were analysed with Chi-square test and it was not significant (p value – 0.50).

Fig.3: Bar chart represents the correlation of gender of the patient and fixed replacement opted for one or two missing teeth. X-axis represents the gender and Y-axis represents the number of patients. Fixed partial denture (blue colour) was the most preferred treatment among male (28.39%), female (23.68%) patients and transgenders (0.08%) when compared to implant (green colour). Association between gender and fixed replacement preferred were analysed with Chi-square test and it was not significant (p value – 0.20).