Assessment of Gingival Enlargement in Epileptic patients - A Retrospective study
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Abstract: Epilepsy is a neurological disorder with recurrent seizures in patients due to underlying chronic disease. Medications taken by epileptics can cause gingival enlargement. Gingival enlargement is aesthetically undesirable and causes difficulty in mastication, speech. The aim of the study was to assess the association of age, gender in epileptic patients with gingival enlargement. A retrospective study for presence of gingival enlargement in epileptic patients was reviewed from patient records and analysing the case sheets between June 2019 and March 2020 in dental outpatient department. Age groups of patients involved in the study are 20-35 years, 35-50 years and 50-65 years. Patient age, gender, type of gingival enlargement were also collected. Excel tabulation was done and results obtained from SPSS version 20. Statistical test performed was chi square analysis to find the association of gingival enlargement types with age, gender of epileptic patients. 118 case sheets were studied out of which 70 were females and 48 were males. Only 8% of the total study group showed epilepsy related drug induced gingival enlargement. Gingival enlargement of generalised type was seen commonly in males (3.42%); 0.063 (p > 0.05) statistically not significant, in the age group of 20-35 years (2.85%); P value - 0.696 (p > 0.05), not statistically significant and localised among both genders in the age group of 20-35 years (1.89%). Periodontal disease was more in generalised gingival enlargement but not significantly associated, p value - 0.788 (p > 0.05), not statistically significant. Within the limits of the study, a small percentage of epileptic patients had drug induced gingival enlargement. Males showed comparatively higher distribution of generalised gingival enlargement in epileptic patients. Periodontal pathologies were common in epileptic patients with gingival enlargement.
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INTRODUCTION
Epilepsy is a neurological disorder that affects 1 - 3% of the world's population (Gurbuz and Tan, 2010) Epilepsy is a brain disorder that occurs at any point in life. However, its incidence among young population has decreased in the past decades (Duncan et al., 2006). Apart from other neurological disorders, public stigma has marked epilepsy out. (Duncan et al., 2006) Many classifications are present to categorize various types of epilepsy. An epileptic attack usually originates from the cortex or sub cortex of the brain. After assessment of patient history and EEG reports a physician may classify a seizure or epilepsy based on which the course of treatment is planned. The main types of epilepsy are tonic clonic, myoclonic, atomic and absence seizures (Muro and Connolly, 2014). Absence seizures are also called as petit mal which characteristically involves staring and unresponsiveness eyes along with occasional nodding of the head and blinking of the eyes. Grand mal seizures involve convulsive movements that are symmetric and bilateral in nature, usually accompanied with loss of consciousness. Atonic seizures cause loss of body tone resulting in drooping of the head. Myoclonic seizures show sudden, rapid jerks or movements without loss of consciousness. Such seizures can be focal or generalised as they can affect one or multiple muscle groups (Epilepsy: A Comprehensive Textbook, Vol 1, 2nd Ed., 2008).

The drug induced gingival enlargement begins as a bead shaped inflammation or growth in the marginal, interdental papilla areas which gradually involves the marginal gingiva. If there is absence of any secondary inflammation, the enlargement resembles a mulberry, pink, firm and resilient in nature. Bleeding on probing is absent (Willmore, 1991). It is also noted that drug induced gingival enlargement is most common in anterior of maxilla and mandible. Epilepsy management may require changes in lifestyle along with certain medications. Various drugs can lead to gingival enlargement. Drugs such as immunosuppressants, anticonvulsants, and calcium
channel blockers may be related to the presentation of gingival enlargement. Gingival enlargement usually considered as a side effect is 2-3 months after drug intake. This enlargement is not painful in most cases but is of discomfort and aesthetically not pleasing. (Bharti and Bansal, 2013)

Gingival enlargement or gingival overgrowth, is a common marker for gingival disease. Management of gingival enlargement depends on etiology of the enlargement. Gingival enlargement may be localised or generalised. (‘Epilepsy: A Comprehensive Textbook, Vol 1, 2nd ed’. 2008) Generalised gingival enlargement is most common due certain drugs that are used in epilepsy management. Gingival enlargement can lead to undesirable aesthetics and difficulty in mastication in a few cases. Hence early diagnosis and knowledge regarding this field is necessary to provide better patient care.

According to (Nayyar et al., 2012), Phenytoin is linked with gingival enlargements and incidence is fairly common. As a general rule, epilepsy medication must be given in low doses to prevent any side effects. Most anti-epileptic drugs cause CNS side effects which are undesirable (Bui et al., 2015). (Somasundara et al., 2016) claimed 29% cases with epilepsy and consumed phenytoin developed moderate gingival enlargement.

Previously our team had conducted numerous case studies (Choudhury and Panigrahi, 2015; Misra et al., 2015; Dharman and Muthukrishnan, 2016; Muthukrishnan, Kumar and Ramalingam, 2016; Muthukrishnan and Kumar, 2017) and systematic reviews (Venugopal and Maheswari, 2016; Chaitanya et al., 2017, 2018; Maheswari et al., 2018) and questionnaire based studies (Subashri and Maheshwari, 2016; Warnaokusuriya and Muthukrishnan, 2018) and international validation study (Steele et al., 2015) and radiographic studies (Rohini and Kumar, 2017; Patil et al., 2018; Subha and Arvind, 2019) over the past 5 years. Hence a current study regarding the patterns of gingival enlargement associated with epilepsy can be done and may be used to find an alternate drug for patients in such cases.

Our department is passionate about research we have published numerous high quality articles in this domain over the past years (Abraham et al., 2005; Devaki, Sathivel and Balaji Raghavendra, 2009; Neelakantan et al., 2010, 2015; Arja et al., 2013; Ramshankar et al., 2014; Sumathi et al., 2014; Surapaneni and Jainu, 2014; Surapaneni, Priya and Mallika, 2014; Ramamoorthy, Niveditha and Divyanand, 2015; Manivannan et al., 2017; Ezhilarasan, 2018; Ezhilarasan, Sokal and Najimi, 2018; J et al., 2018; Ravindiran and Praveenkumar, 2018; Malli Sureshbabu et al., 2019; Mehta et al., 2019; Krishnaswamy et al., 2020; Samuel, Acharya and Rao, 2020; Sathish and Karthick, 2020; Krishnaswamy et al., 2020; Manivannan et al., 2017; Mehta et al., 2019; Ravindiran and Praveenkumar, 2018; Ezhilarasan 2018; Samuel et al., 2020; Ramamoorthi et al., 2015; Abraham et al., 2005; J et al. 2018; Neelakantan et al., 2010; Devaki et al. 2009; Ezhilarasan et al. 2018; Sathish and Karthick, 2020; Malli Sureshbabu et al. 2019; Ramshankar et al. 2014; Arja et al. 2013; Neelakantan et al. 2015; Surapaneni et al. 2014; Surapaneni and Jainu 2014; Sumathi et al. 2014). The aim of the study was to assess the association of age, gender in epileptic patients with gingival enlargement.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design and setting: The study was conducted in a University set up in a Private dental College, Chennai carried out after obtaining approval from the institutional review board. A retrospective study was conducted on patients who visited Saveetha dental College. The patient records were reviewed and analysed between June 2019 and March 2020. All available data was included in the study to minimise sampling bias. A total of 2843 case sheets referred to special care dentistry were reviewed between June 2019 and March 2020. All available data was included in the study to minimise sampling bias. A total of 2843 case sheets referred to special care dentistry were reviewed between June 2019 and March 2020. All available data was included in the study to minimise sampling bias. A total of 2843 case sheets referred to special care dentistry were reviewed between June 2019 and March 2020. All available data was included in the study to minimise sampling bias. A total of 2843 case sheets referred to special care dentistry were reviewed between June 2019 and March 2020. All available data was included in the study to minimise sampling bias. A total of 2843 case sheets referred to special care dentistry were reviewed between June 2019 and March 2020. All available data was included in the study to minimise sampling bias. A total of 2843 case sheets referred to special care dentistry were reviewed between June 2019 and March 2020. All available data was included in the study to minimise sampling bias. A total of 2843 case sheets referred to special care dentistry were reviewed between June 2019 and March 2020. All available data was included in the study to minimise sampling bias. A total of 2843 case sheets referred to special care dentistry were reviewed between June 2019 and March 2020. All available data was included in the study to minimise sampling bias. A total of 2843 case sheets referred to special care dentistry were reviewed between June 2019 and March 2020. All available data was included in the study to minimise sampling bias. A total of 2843 case sheets referred to special care dentistry were reviewed between June 2019 and March 2020. All available data was included in the study to minimise sampling bias. A total of 2843 case sheets referred to special care dentistry were reviewed between June 2019 and March 2020. All available data was included in the study to minimise sampling bias. A total of 2843 case sheets referred to special care dentistry were reviewed between June 2019 and March 2020. All available data was included in the study to minimise sampling bias. A total of 2843 case sheets referred to special care dentistry were reviewed between June 2019 and March 2020. All available data was included in the study to minimise sampling bias. A total of 2843 case sheets referred to special care dentistry were reviewed between June 2019 and March 2020. All available data was included in the study to minimise sampling bias. A total of 2843 case sheets referred to special care dentistry were reviewed between June 2019 and March 2020. All available data was included in the study to minimise sampling bias. A total of 2843 case sheets referred to special care dentistry were reviewed between June 2019 and March 2020. All available data was included in the study to minimise sampling bias. A total of 2843 case sheets referred to special care dentistry were reviewed between June 2019 and March 2020. All available data was included in the study to minimise sampling bias. A total of 2843 case sheets referred to special care dentistry were reviewed between June 2019 and March 2020. All available data was included in the study to minimise sampling bias. A total of 2843 case sheets referred to special care dentistry were reviewed between June 2019 and March 2020. All available data was included in the study to minimise sampling bias. A total of 2843 case sheets referred to special care dentistry were reviewed between June 2019 and March 2020. All available data was included in the study to minimise sampling bias. A total of 2843 case sheets referred to special care dentistry were reviewed between June 2019 and March 2020. All available data was included in the study to minimise sampling bias. A total of 2843 case sheets referred to special care dentistry were reviewed between June 2019 and March 2020. All available data was included in the study to minimise sampling bias. A total of 2843 case sheets referred to special care dentistry were reviewed between June 2019 and March 2020. All available data was included in the study to minimise sampling bias. A total of 2843 case sheets referred to special care dentistry were reviewed between June 2019 and March 2020. All available data was included in the study to minimise sampling bias. A total of 2843 case sheets referred to special care dentistry were reviewed between June 2019 and March 2020. All available data was included in the study to minimise sampling bias. A total of 2843 case sheets referred to special care dentistry were reviewed between June 2019 and March 2020. All available data was included in the study to minimise sampling bias. A total of 2843 case sheets referred to special care dentistry were reviewed between June 2019 and March 2020. All available data was included in the study to minimise sampling bias. A total of 2843 case sheets referred to special care dentistry were reviewed between June 2019 and March 2020. All available data was included in the study to minimise sampling bias. A total of 2843 case sheets referre...
Ethical Approval: The ethical approval for the retrospective study was obtained from the institutional ethics board. Ethical approval number: SDC/SIHEC/2020/DIASDATA/0619-0320.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
Out of the total study group of 118 epileptic patients, 8% of the patients showed gingival enlargement. Figure 1 shows that only 8% of the total study group showed epilepsy related drug induced gingival enlargement. Figure 2 shows that patients in the age group of 20-35 years (45.28%) more commonly presented with a history of epilepsy. Figure 3 shows that female patients more commonly presented with a history of epilepsy (63.21%). Figure 4 shows generalised gingival enlargement was seen only among 19-35 years (2.83%) and 36-55 (0.94%) years age groups. P value - 0.696 (p>0.05), which is statistically not significant. Figure 5 shows that males showed comparatively higher distribution of generalised gingival enlargement (3.42%) p value 0.063 (p>0.05). Figure 6 shows localised gingival enlargement in epileptic patients was commonly present in 20-35 years (1.89%) and 35-50 years (1.89%) compared to other age groups. P value 0.646 (p>0.05). Figure 7 shows that both genders showed similar distribution of localised gingival enlargement. P value - 0.355 (p>0.05), which is statistically not significant. Figure 8 shows that both genders showed higher incidence of periodontitis in patients with gingival enlargement. P value - 0.788 (p>0.05), which is statistically not significant.

Out of the total study group of 118 epileptic patients, 8% of the patients showed gingival enlargement. All the patients were antiepileptic medication mostly phenytoin compared to cyclosporine and calcium channel blockers. (Gurbuz and Tan, 2010) and (Goyal, 2017) proved phenytoin and sodium valproate administered for epileptic is a predisposition to gingival enlargement. In contrast to our study, severity of gingival enlargement was found to be higher in (Akiyama et al., 2006) which showed 33% of patients experienced gingival enlargement with phenytoin. Another Nigerian study (Ogunbodede, Adamaolekun and Akintomide, 1998) showed 83.3% prevalence of gingival enlargement in epileptic patients with phenytoin and phenobarbital therapy. It was also suggested that young age can increase the risk of drug induced gingival enlargement (Majola et al., 2000). Similarly our study showed most epileptic patients were around the age group of 25-30 years. A South African study showed a statistically significant relation of age to gingival enlargement due to phenytoin, however no such significant correlation was found in the current study (Majola et al., 2000). A study conducted in Bangalore, India (Nayyar et al., 2012) showed that 76% males tend to have epilepsy however in contrast, the current study showed females (63.21%) to have a higher incidence of epilepsy than males (36.79%). (Lin, Laura M F and Yacubian, 2007) claimed that gingival enlargement varies in incidence from 3% to 93% depending on ethnicity compared to the results of our study which showed almost 8% incidence of gingival enlargement either localised or generalised in our population. The study also claimed that generalised gingival enlargement was mostly due to phenytoin commonly administered for management of epileptic patients (Nayyar et al., 2014). In our study, generalised gingival enlargement was seen only among 19-35 years and 36-55 years age groups. Males showed comparatively higher distribution of generalised gingival enlargement (3.42%) and both genders showed similar distribution of localised gingival enlargement. Localised gingival enlargement in epileptic patients was commonly present in 20-35 years and 35-50 years. Our study showed most patients with generalised gingival enlargement had periodontal disease. (Fernandes et al., 2010) claimed that gingival enlargement in rats due to nifedipine can be linked with periodontal breakdown.

Studies showed that gingival enlargement due to immunosuppressive drugs like cyclosporine are more richly vascularized than enlargements due to phenytoin. (Duchowny, Cross and Arzimanoglou, 2012) Gingival enlargement may have many causative factors, however gingival enlargements can easily be diagnosed by proper history taking to check if its hormonal or drug induced, by location of enlargement or by clinical manifestation of gingival enlargement. Local factors such as plaque and calculus can also lead to gingival enlargement. Initial plaque control and oral hygiene methods should be adopted to rule enlargement due local irritant factors. In rare cases histological analysis or biopsy may be required to diagnose the type of enlargement. All possible etiologies should be considered by the clinician to ensure efficient treatment is delivered to the patient. Limitations of study, the results of the study can not be generalized to a larger population and other ethnic groups. A study including more patients reviewed over a longer time span and patients of various ethnic groups can give better and more accurate results.

CONCLUSION
Within the limits of the study, a small percentage of epileptic patients had drug induced gingival enlargement. Males of 20-35 years were more commonly affected with generalised gingival enlargement. Gingival enlargement in epileptic patients was not significantly associated with periodontal disease. Gingival enlargement hinders proper oral hygiene maintenance, along with esthetic disfigurement, difficulty in mastication and hence patient awareness and motivation with early management is warranted.
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Fig. 1: Pie chart depicting the distribution of gingival enlargement in patients with history of epilepsy. Only 8% of the epileptic patients had drug induced gingival enlargement (black).

Fig. 2: Bar chart depicting the age distribution of epileptic patients. X axis depicts the age of patients. Y axis represents the number of epileptic patients. Patients in the age group of 20–35 years (Grey) 45.28% had more epileptic patients compared to other age groups.

Fig. 3: Bar chart depicting the gender distribution of epileptic patients. X axis depicts the gender of patients. Y axis represents the number of epileptic patients. In the total study sample majority were Females (Yellow) 63.21% followed by males (red) 36.79%.
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Fig. 4: Bar chart depicting the association of age and generalised gingival enlargement in epileptic patients. X axis depicts the age of patients. Y axis represents the number of epileptic patients. Majority of generalised gingival enlargement among epileptic patients was present (green) in 20-35 years compared to other age groups. Chi square analysis done. P value - 0.696 (p>0.05) statistically not significant.

Fig. 5: Bar chart depicting the association of gender and generalised gingival enlargement in epileptic patients. X axis depicts the gender of patients. Y axis represents the number of epileptic patients. Males showed comparatively higher distribution of generalised gingival enlargement (present - green) in epileptic patients compared to females. Chi square analysis done. P value - 0.063 (p>0.05) statistically not significant.

Fig. 6: Bar chart depicting the association of age and localised gingival enlargement in epileptic patients. X axis depicts the age of patients. Y axis represents the number of epileptic patients. Localised gingival enlargement in epileptic patients was commonly present (green) in 20-35 years and 35-50 years compared to other age groups. Chi square analysis done. P value - 0.646 (p>0.05) statistically not significant.
Fig. 7: Bar chart depicting the association of gender and localised gingival enlargement in epileptic patients. X axis depicts the gender of patients. Y axis represents the number of epileptic patients. Localised gingival enlargement in epileptic patients was commonly present (green) both in male and female patients. Chi square analysis done. P value - 0.335 (p>0.05) statistically not significant.

Fig. 8: Bar chart depicting the association of gingival enlargement in epileptic patients and periodontal status of patients. X axis depicts the periodontal status of patients. Y axis shows epileptic patients with gingival enlargement. Epileptic patients with Generalised gingival enlargement had more periodontal disease compared to patients with localised gingival enlargement. Chi square analysis done. P value - 0.788 which is statistically not significant.